

# **Recent Asymptotic Results for Euler–Maclaurin Expansions, Gauss–Legendre Quadrature, and Legendre Polynomial Expansions**

**AVRAM SIDI**

Computer Science Department  
Technion–Israel Institute of Technology  
Haifa, ISRAEL

## References

A.S. *Numer. Math.*, 98(2004)171–187. [Euler–Maclaurin expansions]

A.S. *Math. Comp.*, 78(2009)241–253. [Gauss–Legendre quadrature asymptotics]

A.S. *Math. Comp.*, 78(2009)1593–1612. [Variable transformations for G–L quadrature]

A.S. *Asymptot. Anal.*, 65(2009)858–878. [Asymptotics of Legendre series coefficients, I]

A.S. *Math. Comp.*, In press. [Asymptotics of Legendre series coefficients, II]

A.S. *Math. Comp.*, 79(2010)1681–1695. [Generalized Richardson extrapolation on linear sequences]

A. S. *Practical Extrapolation Methods: Theory and Applications*, CUP, 2003.

# Euler–Maclaurin expansions and generalizations

## Classical Euler–Maclaurin (E–M) expansion

$$I[f] = \int_a^b f(x)dx \approx h \sum_{i=0}^n f(a + ih) = T_n[f]; \quad h = \frac{b - a}{n}$$

In case  $f \in C^\infty[a, b]$ , there holds

$$T_n[f] \sim I[f] + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} [f^{(2k-1)}(b) - f^{(2k-1)}(a)] h^{2k} \quad (h \rightarrow 0).$$

$$f'(a) \neq f'(b) \Rightarrow T_n[f] - I[f] = O(h^2).$$

$$f^{(2k-1)}(a) = f^{(2k-1)}(b), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m - 1 \Rightarrow T_n[f] - I[f] = O(h^{2m}).$$

If  $f^{(2k-1)}(a) = f^{(2k-1)}(b)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , then

$$T_n[f] - I[f] = O(h^{2\mu}) \quad \forall \mu > 0.$$

This happens, e.g., when  $f \in C^\infty(-\infty, \infty)$  and is  $(b - a)$ -periodic.

## Navot's generalizations of classical E–M expansion

In case  $f(x) = (x - a)^\alpha g_a(x) = (b - x)^\beta g_b(x)$ ,

$g_a \in C^\infty[a, b)$ ,  $g_b \in C^\infty(a, b]$ ,

$\Re\alpha, \Re\beta > -1$  and not necessarily integers,

there holds [Navot (1961, 1962), Lyness & Ninham (1967)]

$$T_n[f] \sim I[f] + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta(-\alpha - k)}{k!} g_a^{(k)}(a) h^{\alpha+k+1} \\ + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{\zeta(-\beta - k)}{k!} g_b^{(k)}(b) h^{\beta+k+1} \quad (h \rightarrow 0).$$

Here,  $T_n[f] = h \sum_{i=0}^n f(a + ih)$  does not include undefined  $f(a)$  and  $f(b)$ .

$\zeta(\omega)$ : the Riemann Zeta function, continued to the complex plane.

With the help of

$$\zeta(0) = -\frac{1}{2}; \quad \zeta(-2m) = 0, \quad \zeta(1 - 2m) = -\frac{B_{2m}}{2m}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

we recover the (classical) E–M expansion when  $\alpha, \beta \rightarrow 0$ .

## Recent generalizations of E–M expansions [S. (2004)]

Let  $f \in C^\infty(a, b)$  and

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} c_s (x-a)^{\gamma_s} \quad (x \rightarrow a), \quad f(x) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} d_s (b-x)^{\delta_s} \quad (x \rightarrow b)$$

$$\Re \gamma_0 \leq \Re \gamma_1 \leq \dots; \quad \Re \delta_0 \leq \Re \delta_1 \leq \dots;$$

$$\gamma_s, \delta_s \neq -1, \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \Re \gamma_s, \Re \delta_s = \infty.$$

Assume that these asymptotic expansions can be differentiated term by term infinitely many times. Define

$$\tilde{T}_n[f] = h \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(a+ih); \quad h = \frac{b-a}{n}.$$

Then

$$\tilde{T}_n[f] \sim I[f] + \sum_{\substack{s=0 \\ \gamma_s \notin \{2,4,\dots\}}}^{\infty} c_s \zeta(-\gamma_s) h^{\gamma_s+1} + \sum_{\substack{s=0 \\ \delta_s \notin \{2,4,\dots\}}}^{\infty} d_s \zeta(-\delta_s) h^{\delta_s+1}$$

$\zeta(\omega)$ : the Riemann Zeta function, continued to the complex plane.

If, more generally,

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} P_s(\log(x-a))(x-a)^{\gamma_s} \quad (x \rightarrow a); \quad P_s(y) \text{ polynomial,}$$

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} Q_s(\log(b-a))(b-x)^{\delta_s} \quad (x \rightarrow b); \quad Q_s(y) \text{ polynomial,}$$

then, with  $D_\omega = d/d\omega$ ,

$$\tilde{T}_n[f] \sim I[f] + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} P_s(D_{\gamma_s}) [\zeta(-\gamma_s) h^{\gamma_s+1}] + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} Q_s(D_{\delta_s}) [\zeta(-\delta_s) h^{\delta_s+1}]$$

Note: If  $R \in \pi_m$ , then

$$R(D_\omega) [\zeta(-\omega) h^{\omega+1}] = h^{\omega+1} W(\log h), \quad W \in \pi_m.$$

These generalizations hold also when  $I[f]$  is not defined in the regular sense, but is defined in the sense of *Hadamard finite part*; i.e., for all  $\gamma_s, \delta_s$

$$\gamma_s, \delta_s \neq -1.$$

(In such a case,  $\{\tilde{T}_n[f]\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is divergent.)

# Asymptotic expansions for Gauss–Legendre quadrature

$$I[f] = \int_{-1}^1 f(x) dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^n w_{ni} f(x_{ni}) = G_n[f]; \quad G_n[f] = I[f], \quad \forall f \in \pi_{2n-1}.$$

$x_{ni}$  : abscissas,  $w_{ni}$  weights;  $P_n(x_{ni}) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

If  $f \in C^\infty[-1, 1]$ , then

$$G_n[f] - I[f] = O(n^{-\mu}) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \quad \forall \mu > 0.$$

If  $f(z)$  analytic in an open domain of  $z$ -plane containing  $[-1, 1]$ , then

$$G_n[f] - I[f] = O(e^{-\sigma n}) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \quad \text{for some } \sigma > 0.$$

Poor convergence in case of endpoint singularities.

If, for example,  $f(x) = (1 - x)^\alpha g(x)$ ,  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $g \in C^\infty[-1, 1]$ , then

$$G_n[f] - I[f] = O(n^{-2\alpha-2}) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

[See Davis & Rabinowitz (1984).]

## Asymptotic expansions of Verlinden (1997)

If  $f(x) = (1 - x)^\alpha g(x)$ ,  $\Re\alpha > -1$  but  $\alpha \neq 0, 1, \dots$ , and  $g(z)$  analytic in an open set containing  $[-1, 1]$ , then,

$$G_n[f] - I[f] \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(\alpha) h^{\alpha+k} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty); \quad h = (n + 1/2)^{-2}.$$

Here,  $a_k(\alpha)$  independent of  $n$  and analytic for  $\Re\alpha > -1$ .

If  $f(x) = \log(1 - x) (1 - x)^\alpha g(x)$ , then

$$G_n[f] - I[f] \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} D_\alpha [a_k(\alpha) h^{\alpha+k}] \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

If  $f(x) = \log(1 - x)g(x)$ , then [because  $a_k(0) = 0, k = 0, 1, \dots$ ,]

$$G_n[f] - I[f] \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k(\alpha) h^k \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$



## Recent asymptotic expansions [S. (2009)]

Let  $f \in C^\infty(-1, 1)$  and

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} A_s (1-x)^{\alpha_s} \quad (x \rightarrow 1); \quad f(x) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} B_s (1+x)^{\beta_s} \quad (x \rightarrow -1),$$

$$-1 < \Re\alpha_0 \leq \Re\alpha_1 \leq \dots, \quad -1 < \Re\beta_0 \leq \Re\beta_1 \leq \dots; \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \Re\alpha_s, \Re\beta_s = \infty.$$

Assume that these asymptotic expansions can be differentiated term by term infinitely many times.

Then, with  $h = (n + 1/2)^{-2}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} G_n[f] \sim I[f] &+ \sum_{\substack{s=0 \\ \alpha_s \notin \mathbb{Z}^+}}^{\infty} A_s \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(\alpha_s) h^{\alpha_s+k} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{s=0 \\ \beta_s \notin \mathbb{Z}^+}}^{\infty} B_s \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(\beta_s) h^{\beta_s+k} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

$c_k(\omega)$  analytic functions of  $\omega$  for  $\Re\omega > -1$ . Also  $c_k(\omega) = 0$  if  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

Note that the  $c_k(\omega)$  are defined via  $f_\omega^\pm(x) = (1 \pm x)^\omega$  and

$$G_n[f_\omega^\pm] \sim I[f_\omega^\pm] + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(\omega) h^{\omega+k} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (*)$$

[This follows from Verlinden (1997).]

To prove our result, show that, with  $E_n[g] = G_n[g] - I[g]$ , there holds

$$E_n[f] \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} A_s E_n[f_{\alpha_s}^-] + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} B_s E_n[f_{\beta_s}^+] \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

and then invoke (\*) and re-expand.

If  $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \notin \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then

$$E_n[f] = O(h^\omega), \quad \omega = \min\{\Re\alpha_0 + 1, \Re\beta_0 + 1\}$$

If  $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then

$$E_n[f] = O(h^\omega), \quad \omega = \min\{\Re\alpha_1 + 1, \Re\beta_1 + 1\}$$

If, more generally,

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} U_s(\log(1-x))(1-x)^{\alpha_s} \quad (x \rightarrow 1), \quad U_s(y) \text{ polynomial,}$$

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} V_s(\log(1+x))(1+x)^{\beta_s} \quad (x \rightarrow -1), \quad V_s(y) \text{ polynomial,}$$

then, with  $D_\omega = d/d\omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} G_n[f] \sim I[f] &+ \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} U_s(D_{\alpha_s}) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(\alpha_s) h^{\alpha_s+k} \right] \\ &+ \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} V_s(D_{\beta_s}) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(\beta_s) h^{\beta_s+k} \right] \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Note: If  $R \in \pi_m$ , then

$$R(D_\omega) \left[ c_k(\omega) h^{\omega+k} \right] = h^{\omega+k} W(\log h), \quad W \in \pi_m.$$

## Asymptotic expansions for Legendre series coefficients

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n[f] P_n(x), \quad e_n[f] = (n + 1/2) \int_{-1}^1 f(x) P_n(x) dx.$$

$$f \in L_2(-1, 1) \Rightarrow e_n[f] = o(n^{1/2}) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

$$f \in C^\infty[-1, 1] \Rightarrow e_n[f] = o(n^{-\mu}) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

$$f \text{ analytic on } D \supset [-1, 1] \Rightarrow e_n[f] = O(e^{-\sigma n}) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty); \quad \sigma > 0 \text{ const}$$

$$f \in C^r[-1, 1], \quad r \geq 0 \Rightarrow e_n[f] = O\left(n^{-r+1/2} \omega_{f^{(r)}}(2/n)\right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

In case of endpoint singularities, last result not optimal. For example, if  $f(x) = (1 - x)^{r+\nu}$ ,  $0 < \nu < 1$ , then it gives  $e_n[f] = O(n^{-(r+\nu)+1/2})$ , whereas

$$e_n[f] \sim K n^{-2(r+\nu)-1} \quad \text{exactly.}$$

## Asymptotics in presence of endpoint singularities [S. (2009)]

Let  $f \in C^\infty(-1, 1)$  and

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} A_s (1-x)^{\alpha_s} \quad (x \rightarrow 1); \quad f(x) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} B_s (1+x)^{\beta_s} \quad (x \rightarrow -1),$$

$$-1 < \Re\alpha_0 \leq \Re\alpha_1 \leq \dots, \quad -1 < \Re\beta_0 \leq \Re\beta_1 \leq \dots; \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \Re\alpha_s, \Re\beta_s = \infty.$$

Assume that these asymptotic expansions can be differentiated term by term infinitely many times.

Then, with  $\hat{n} = n + 1/2$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} e_n[f] &\sim \sum_{\substack{s=0 \\ \alpha_s \notin \mathbb{Z}^+}}^{\infty} A_s \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(\alpha_s) / \hat{n}^{2(\alpha_s+k)} \\ &+ (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{s=0 \\ \beta_s \notin \mathbb{Z}^+}}^{\infty} B_s \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(\beta_s) / \hat{n}^{2(\beta_s+k)} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

$$c_k(\omega) = 2^{\omega+1} \frac{\Gamma(1+\omega)}{\Gamma(-\omega)} \frac{B_{2k}^{(\sigma)}(\sigma/2)}{(2k)!} \frac{\Gamma(2k+2\omega+2)}{\Gamma(2\omega+2)}, \quad \sigma = -2\omega - 1,$$

analytic functions of  $\omega$  for  $\Re\omega > -1$ . Also  $c_k(\omega) = 0$  if  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

$B_k^{(\sigma)}(u)$ :  $k^{\text{th}}$  generalized Bernoulli polynomial.

If, more generally,

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} U_s(\log(1-x))(1-x)^{\alpha_s} \quad (x \rightarrow 1), \quad U_s(y) \text{ polynomial,}$$

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} V_s(\log(1+x))(1+x)^{\beta_s} \quad (x \rightarrow -1), \quad V_s(y) \text{ polynomial,}$$

then, with  $D_\omega = d/d\omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} e_n[f] \sim & \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} U_s(D_{\alpha_s}) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(\alpha_s) / \hat{n}^{2(\alpha_s+k)} \right] \\ & + (-1)^n \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} V_s(D_{\beta_s}) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(\beta_s) / \hat{n}^{2(\beta_s+k)} \right] \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Note: If  $R \in \pi_m$ , then

$$R(D_\omega) \left[ c_k(\omega) / \hat{n}^{2(\omega+k)} \right] = W(\log \hat{n}) / \hat{n}^{2(\omega+k)}, \quad W \in \pi_m.$$

## Asymptotics in presence of interior and endpoint singularities [S. (2010)]

Letting  $x = \cos \theta$ ,  $\hat{n} = n + 1/2$ ,

$$e_n[f] = \hat{n} \int_0^\pi F(\theta) P_n(\cos \theta) d\theta; \quad F(\theta) = \sin \theta f(\cos \theta).$$

### Asymptotics of $P_n(x)$ , $-1 < x < 1$

$$P_n(\cos \theta) \sim \Re \left\{ e^{i\hat{n}\theta} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_k(e^{i\theta})}{\hat{n}^{k+1/2}} \right\} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly for } \epsilon \leq \theta \leq \pi - \epsilon,$$

$$\phi_k(e^{i\theta}) = (-1)^k \frac{2}{\pi^{1/2}} \binom{-1/2}{k} e^{i(\theta-\pi)/2} B_k^{(1/2)}((i/2)D_\theta) [(1-e^{i2\theta})^{-1/2}].$$



## An intermediate result

Let  $f \in C^\infty(c, d)$ ,  $[c, d] \subset (-1, 1)$ ,  $\alpha = \cos^{-1} d > 0$ ,  $\beta = \cos^{-1} c < \pi$ ,

$$F(\theta) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} U_s(\theta-\alpha)^{\rho_s} \quad \text{as } \theta \rightarrow \alpha+; \quad F(\theta) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} V_s(\beta-\theta)^{\sigma_s} \quad \text{as } \theta \rightarrow \beta-,$$

$$-1 < \Re\rho_0 \leq \Re\rho_1 \leq \dots, \quad -1 < \Re\sigma_0 \leq \Re\sigma_1 \leq \dots; \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \Re\rho_s, \Re\sigma_s = \infty.$$

Assume that these asymptotic expansions can be differentiated term by term infinitely many times. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_c^d f(x) P_n(x) dx \sim & e^{i\hat{n}\alpha} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} U_s \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_\mu^{(+)}(\alpha; \rho_s)}{\hat{n}^{\rho_s + \mu + 3/2}} + e^{-i\hat{n}\alpha} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} U_s \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{G}_\mu^{(+)}(\alpha; \rho_s)}{\hat{n}^{\rho_s + \mu + 3/2}} \\ & + e^{i\hat{n}\beta} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} V_s \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_\mu^{(-)}(\beta; \sigma_s)}{\hat{n}^{\sigma_s + \mu + 3/2}} + e^{-i\hat{n}\beta} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} V_s \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{G}_\mu^{(-)}(\beta; \sigma_s)}{\hat{n}^{\sigma_s + \mu + 3/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$G_{\mu}^{(+)}(\theta; \omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j, k \geq 0 \\ j+k=\mu}} i^{\omega+j+1} \phi_{kj}(\theta) \Gamma(\omega + j + 1),$$

$$\widehat{G}_{\mu}^{(+)}(\theta; \omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j, k \geq 0 \\ j+k=\mu}} (-i)^{\omega+j+1} \overline{\phi_{kj}(\theta)} \Gamma(\omega + j + 1),$$

$$G_{\mu}^{(-)}(\theta; \omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j, k \geq 0 \\ j+k=\mu}} (-1)^j (-i)^{\omega+j+1} \phi_{kj}(\theta) \Gamma(\omega + j + 1),$$

$$\widehat{G}_{\mu}^{(-)}(\theta; \omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j, k \geq 0 \\ j+k=\mu}} (-1)^j i^{\omega+j+1} \overline{\phi_{kj}(\theta)} \Gamma(\omega + j + 1).$$

Here

$$\phi_{kj}(\theta) = \frac{1}{j!} \frac{d^j}{d\theta^j} \phi_k(e^{i\theta}), \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots .$$

## Full asymptotic expansion for $e_n[f]$

Let  $f \in PC^\infty(-1, 1)$  with possible endpoint singularities at  $x = \pm 1$

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} A_s (1-x)^{\alpha_s} \quad (x \rightarrow 1); \quad f(x) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} B_s (1+x)^{\beta_s} \quad (x \rightarrow -1),$$

and with interior singularities at  $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_m$ ,

$$F(\theta) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} T_{rs}^{(\pm)} |\theta - \theta_r|^{\gamma_{rs}^{(\pm)}} \quad \text{as } \theta \rightarrow \theta_r \pm, \quad r=1, \dots, m; \quad F(\theta) = \sin \theta f(\cos \theta).$$

$$0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \dots < \theta_m < \pi, \quad \theta_r = \cos^{-1} x_r \quad \forall r.$$

Assume that these asymptotic expansions can be differentiated term by term infinitely many times.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
e_n[f] \sim & \sum_{r=1}^m \left\{ e^{i\hat{n}\theta_r} \left[ \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} T_{rs}^{(+)} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_{\mu}^{(+)}(\theta_r; \gamma_{rs}^{(+)})}{\hat{n}^{\gamma_{rs}^{(+)} + \mu + 1/2}} + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} T_{rs}^{(-)} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_{\mu}^{(-)}(\theta_r; \gamma_{rs}^{(-)})}{\hat{n}^{\gamma_{rs}^{(-)} + \mu + 1/2}} \right] \right. \\
& + e^{-i\hat{n}\theta_r} \left[ \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} T_{rs}^{(+)} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{\widehat{G}_{\mu}^{(+)}(\theta_r; \gamma_{rs}^{(+)})}{\hat{n}^{\gamma_{rs}^{(+)} + \mu + 1/2}} + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} T_{rs}^{(-)} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{\widehat{G}_{\mu}^{(-)}(\theta_r; \gamma_{rs}^{(-)})}{\hat{n}^{\gamma_{rs}^{(-)} + \mu + 1/2}} \right] \left. \right\} \\
& + \sum_{\substack{s=0 \\ \alpha_s \notin \mathbb{Z}^+}}^{\infty} A_s \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_k(\alpha_s)}{\hat{n}^{2(\alpha_s + k + 1/2)}} + (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{s=0 \\ \beta_s \notin \mathbb{Z}^+}}^{\infty} B_s \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_k(\beta_s)}{\hat{n}^{2(\beta_s + k + 1/2)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

# Variable transformations and Gauss–Legendre quadrature

Consider  $I[f] = \int_0^1 f(x)dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^n w'_{ni} f(x'_{ni}) = G_n[f]$

We recall that if  $f \in C^\infty(0, 1)$  and

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} A_s x^{\alpha_s} \quad (x \rightarrow 0); \quad f(x) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} B_s (1-x)^{\beta_s} \quad (x \rightarrow 1),$$

then, with  $h = (n + 1/2)^{-2}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_n[f] = G_n[f] - I[f] \sim & \sum_{\substack{s=0 \\ \alpha_s \notin \mathbb{Z}^+}}^{\infty} A_s \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(\alpha_s) h^{\alpha_s+k} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{s=0 \\ \beta_s \notin \mathbb{Z}^+}}^{\infty} B_s \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(\beta_s) h^{\beta_s+k} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\alpha_0, \beta_0 \notin \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad \Rightarrow \quad E_n[f] = O(h^\omega), \quad \omega = \min\{\Re\alpha_0 + 1, \Re\beta_0 + 1\}$$

$$\alpha_0, \beta_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad \Rightarrow \quad E_n[f] = O(h^\omega), \quad \omega = \min\{\Re\alpha_1 + 1, \Re\beta_1 + 1\}$$

In case of endpoint singularities, to improve performance of G–L quadrature proceed as follows:

1. transform the variable  $x$ :

$$x = \psi(t), \quad \psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1], \quad \psi \in \mathbb{C}^\infty(0, 1),$$

$$\psi \in C^1[0, 1]; \quad \psi(0) = 0, \quad \psi(1) = 1; \quad \psi'(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (0, 1).$$

$$I[f] = I[\hat{f}] = \int_0^1 \hat{f}(t) dt, \quad \hat{f}(t) = f(\psi(t))\psi'(t).$$

Choose  $\psi(t)$  such that  $\psi^{(i)}(0) = \psi^{(i)}(1) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p$ ,  $p$  large. This causes  $f \in C^q[0, 1]$  for some large  $q$  depending on  $p$ .

2. apply G–L quadrature to  $I[\hat{f}]$ :

$$I[f] \approx G_n[\hat{f}] = \sum_{i=1}^n w'_{ni} \hat{f}(x'_{ni}) = \hat{G}_n[f].$$

Because  $f \in C^q[0, 1]$  for some large  $q$ ,  $G_n[\hat{f}]$  is very accurate.

Definition [S. (2009)].  $\psi \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{p,q}$ ,  $p, q > 0$ , not necessarily integers, if

$$\psi'(t) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_i^{(0)} t^{p+i} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0+; \quad \epsilon_0^{(0)} > 0,$$

$$\psi'(t) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_i^{(1)} (1-t)^{q+i} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 1-; \quad \epsilon_0^{(1)} > 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\psi(t) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_i^{(0)} \frac{t^{p+i+1}}{p+i+1} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0+,$$

$$\psi(t) \sim 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_i^{(1)} \frac{(1-t)^{q+i+1}}{q+i+1} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 1-.$$

Example. Extended Korobov ( $K^{p,q}$ ) transformation

$$\psi(t) = \frac{\int_0^t u^p (1-u)^q du}{\int_0^1 u^p (1-u)^q du} = I_t(p+1, q+1).$$

[ $I_t(a, b)$ : regularized incomplete Beta function.]

$$\hat{f}(t) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} A_s [\psi(t)]^{\alpha_s} \psi'_s(t) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} U_{si} t^{\alpha_s(p+1)+p+i} \quad (t \rightarrow 0),$$

$$\hat{f}(t) \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} B_s [1-\psi(t)]^{\beta_s} \psi'_s(t) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} V_{si} (1-t)^{\beta_s(q+1)+q+i} \quad (t \rightarrow 1).$$

Therefore, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$E_n[\hat{f}] \sim \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{U}_{sik} h^{\alpha_s(p+1)+p+i+k} + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{V}_{sik} h^{\beta_s(q+1)+q+i+k}$$

If  $[\alpha_0(p+1)+p], [\beta_0(q+1)+q] \notin \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,

$$E_n[\hat{f}] = O(h^\omega); \quad \omega = \min\{(\Re\alpha_0 + 1)(p+1), (\Re\beta_0 + 1)(q+1)\}.$$

Recall that integer powers of  $t$  and  $(1-t)$  do not contribute to  $E_n[\hat{f}]$ , and assume that  $\alpha_0$  and  $\beta_0$  are real.

Choose  $p, q$  such that  $[\alpha_0(p+1)+p]$  and  $[\beta_0(q+1)+q]$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ .

Then so are all  $[\alpha_0(p+1)+p+i]$  and  $[\beta_0(q+1)+q+i]$ . Therefore,

$$E_n[\hat{f}] = O(h^\omega); \quad \omega = \min\{(\Re\alpha_1 + 1)(p+1), (\Re\beta_1 + 1)(q+1)\}.$$



Example.  $f(x) = \frac{x^{-3/4} (1-x)^{-1/4}}{1+x}, \quad \int_0^1 f(x) = \pi 2^{1/4}.$

$$\alpha_s = -3/4 + s, \quad \beta_s = -1/4 + s, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots$$

For *optimal* results choose  $p, q$  such that

$$\alpha_0(p+1) + p = k, \quad \beta_0(q+1) + q = l, \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

This gives  $p = 4k + 3, \quad q = (4l + 1)/3, \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$

For *nonoptimal* results choose  $p = 4k + 3 + 0.1, \quad q = (4l + 1)/3 + 0.1,$   
 $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$

Below we take  $k = l = j, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots$

Also  $\hat{E}_n[f] = O(n^{-\rho}) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$  where

$$\rho = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{\log 2} \cdot \log \left( \frac{|\hat{E}_n[f]|}{|\hat{E}_{2n}[f]|} \right) \right\}.$$

$n$	$j = 0$	$j = 1$	$j = 2$	$j = 3$	$j = 4$
2	$2.87D - 02$	$1.91D - 01$	$6.13D - 01$	$8.67D - 01$	$9.63D - 01$
4	$6.47D - 03$	$6.37D - 04$	$1.08D - 02$	$1.40D - 02$	$7.88D - 02$
8	$2.10D - 03$	$1.20D - 04$	$6.14D - 04$	$5.30D - 04$	$2.81D - 03$
16	$5.25D - 04$	$8.58D - 06$	$5.60D - 07$	$9.35D - 07$	$4.66D - 06$
32	$1.26D - 04$	$5.09D - 07$	$7.28D - 09$	$2.21D - 10$	$1.52D - 11$
64	$2.99D - 05$	$2.98D - 08$	$1.06D - 10$	$7.91D - 13$	$9.87D - 15$

Errors  $\hat{E}_n[f]$  obtained with  $n = 2^m$ ,  $m = 1(1)6$ , and with the  $K^{p,q}$  transformation. In column  $j$ , we have chosen  $p = 4j + 3 + 0.1$  and  $q = (4j + 1)/3 + 0.1$ . (Nonoptimal  $p, q$ .)

$m$	$j = 0$	$j = 1$	$j = 2$	$j = 3$	$j = 4$
1	2.149	8.225	5.826	5.957	3.611
2	1.623	2.409	4.136	4.719	4.809
3	2.000	3.806	10.099	9.146	9.236
4	2.057	4.075	6.265	12.048	18.229
5	2.079	4.094	6.105	8.125	10.586
$\infty$	2.05	4.05	6.05	8.05	10.05

The numbers  $\rho_{p,q,m} = \frac{1}{\log 2} \cdot \log \left( \frac{|\hat{E}_{2^m}[f]|}{|\hat{E}_{2^{m+1}}[f]|} \right)$ , with  $p, q, f(x)$ , and  $\hat{E}_n[f]$  as in preceding table, for  $m = 1(1)5$ .

$n$	$j = 0$	$j = 1$	$j = 2$	$j = 3$	$j = 4$
2	$5.00D - 02$	$1.72D - 01$	$5.81D - 01$	$8.32D - 01$	$9.25D - 01$
4	$1.67D - 03$	$3.61D - 03$	$6.45D - 03$	$1.77D - 02$	$8.16D - 02$
8	$5.81D - 05$	$2.16D - 05$	$4.71D - 04$	$4.21D - 04$	$3.04D - 03$
16	$2.51D - 06$	$1.42D - 08$	$5.65D - 08$	$8.53D - 07$	$3.71D - 06$
32	$1.04D - 07$	$2.03D - 11$	$4.22D - 16$	$6.70D - 14$	$4.42D - 12$
64	$4.23D - 09$	$3.20D - 14$	$1.69D - 30$	$1.40D - 21$	$4.88D - 25$

Errors  $\hat{E}_n[f]$  obtained with  $n = 2^m$ ,  $m = 1(1)6$ , and with the  $K^{p,q}$  transformation. In column  $j$ , we have chosen  $p = 4j + 3$  and  $q = (4j + 1)/3$ . (Optimal  $p, q$ .)

Note that for  $j = 2$ , the asymptotic expansion of  $\hat{E}_n[f]$  is empty.

$m$	$j = 0$	$j = 1$	$j = 2$	$j = 3$	$j = 4$
1	4.906	5.577	6.494	5.558	3.503
2	4.842	7.384	3.776	5.389	4.748
3	4.534	10.571	13.025	8.948	9.675
4	4.590	9.450	26.995	23.603	19.681
5	4.622	9.309	47.825	25.508	43.040
$\infty$	$4\frac{2}{3}$	$9\frac{1}{3}$	$\infty$	$18\frac{2}{3}$	$23\frac{1}{3}$

The numbers  $\rho_{p,q,m} = \frac{1}{\log 2} \cdot \log \left( \frac{|\hat{E}_{2^m}[f]|}{|\hat{E}_{2^{m+1}}[f]|} \right)$ , with  $p, q, f(x)$ , and  $\hat{E}_n[f]$  as in preceding table, for  $m = 1(1)5$ .